Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/659,805	HACHIKIAN, ZAKAR RAFFI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael J. Feely	1796		

	Michael J. Feely	1796	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>16 April 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit al (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire latexaminer Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (IMONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) 	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extruder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
	t waisants the data of filing a baist	وط لموسوعون وطعوس النبي	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	**	de alman a manifestima de	a income for
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying ti	ie issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11		otou olalino.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		mphant, unonamon (i	. 02 02 1/1
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		imely filed amendmer	t canceling the
 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed: <u>45,46 and 57</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>3-6,8,10-19,21,23,27-30,32,33 and 3</u>	<u>25-43</u> .		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2,7,9,20,22,25,26,31,34 and 47-56</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Michael J Feely/	nit 1706	
	Primary Examiner, Art U	HIIL 1790	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/659,805

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

3(a)

The amendment to claim 55 has created a 112, 2nd paragraph, issue with claim 49, and the amendment to claim 56 has created a 112, 2nd paragraph, issue with claim 53. The limitations of claims 49 and 53 broaden the scope of the flexibilizer featured in the hardener component of claims 55 and 56 (selected from amine terminated butadiene acrylonitrile adducts or caboxyl terminated butadiene acrylonitrile adducts) to include generic butadiene acrylonitrile flexibilizers (not explicitly functionally terminated adducts). In light of this, the scope of claims 49 and 53 is indefinite. Furthermore, it is not explicitly clear if the amine terminated butadiene acrylonitrile flexibilizers set forth in claims 50 and 54 are "adducts".

New claim 58 has created a 112, 2nd paragraph, issue with claim 23, and new claims 59 has created a 112, 2nd paragraph, issue with claim 43. The limitations of claims 23 and 43 broaden the lower weight percent limit of unmodified glycol ether base aliphatic amine from 8% to 7% (range of 8-45% limited by range of 7-15%). In light of this, the scope of claims 23 and 43 is indefinite.

3(a/c)

A number of claims were previously objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but allowable if rewritten in independent form INCLUDING ALL OF THE LIMITATIONS OF THE BASE CLAIM AND ANY INTERVENING CLAIMS. Applicant has made changes that do not include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. As a result, the scope of independent claims 55, 56, 58, and 59 is newly presented (not previously claimed). As a result, these changes fail to materially reduce or simplify the issues for appeal. Furthermore, the newly presented scope of independent claims 55, 56, 58, and 59 would require further consideration and/or search.